Cambridge Assessment English

SPŠ Zengrovka

Pretesting

Email pretesting@cambridgeenglish.org

reflect the results your candidates will achieve in a Cambridge English Language Assessment live examinate Cloverleaf FCETS Listening 3573 00175 Candidate Number & Name Total Percentage Performance Indicator additional feedback on prete 35 REHA 15 71,0% On track In addition to scores, Cambridge 36 VACLAV SCHEFFCZIK 19 90,0% On track In addition to scores, Cambridge 37 JIRI RUABA 19 90,0% On track In addition to scores, Cambridge 38 FLLLP PRACNY 16 76,0% On track exams. This feedback is designed to fine-umaterials to ensure that they are accurate, reliable and fair.4 subject to the following considerati 40 ADAM FABIK 16 76,0% On track subject to the following considerati 41 DANIEL CHOCHOLA 9 43,0% Needs improvement materials may be subject to further modifications for ot use in examination. 2) Protests on ont necessarily hav exactly the same format as live examination. 2) For a variety of reasons, there is quaranterials. 3) For a variety of reasons, there is quaranterials. 3) For a variety of reasons, there is quarations.	MAINSUITE PRETESTING							
This pretest is not a live Cambridge English Language Assessment examination. The scores provided may reflect the results your candidates will achieve in a Cambridge English Language Assessment live examination Cloverleaf 3573 FCETS 3573 Listening 00175 Additional feedback on prete additional feedback on prete 35 REHA 15 71,0% On track In addition is scores, Cambridge 36 VACLAV SCHEFFCZIK 19 90,0% On track In addition is scores, Cambridge 37 JIRI RUABA 19 90,0% On track In additional feedback on prete 38 FLLP PRACNY 16 76,0% On track In additional co to condidates	October 2024							
FCEFS Listening J00175 Candidate Number & Name Total Percentage Performance Indicator additional feedback on pretered 35 REHA 15 71,0% On track In addition to scores, Cambridge English Language Assessment propridue setup update assessment propride useful update to candidate taking part inpretesting for certain examination. 36 VACLAV SCHEFFCZIK 19 90,0% On track In addition to scores, Cambridge English Language Assessment propride useful update to candidate subject to the following considerating and the present for certain examinations. This feedback is designed provide useful update to candidate subject to the following considerating and the subject to the following considerating and the subject to the following considerating and the subject to turber modification prior to use in examinations. 41 DANIEL CHOCHOLA 9 43,0% Needs improvement 2) Pretests are designed to fine-turber and they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such as any reliable and fair. As such as any reliable and fair. As such a	PLEASE NOTE: This pretest is not a live Cambridge English Language Assessment examination. The scores provided may not reflect the results your candidates will achieve in a Cambridge English Language Assessment live examination.							
Listening0017520175REHA1571,0%On trackIn addition to scores, Cambridge registin Langue Assessment pr performance indicators for candida tassment predesting of certain exams. This feedback is designed provide useful guidance to candida subject to the following considerati subject to the following considerati subject to the following considerati subject to the following considerati subject to the following considerati materials to ensure that they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such accurate, reliable and fair. As such 					3573			
35 REHA 15 71,0% On track In addition to scores, Cambridge 36 VACLAV SCHEFFCZIK 19 90,0% On track English Language Assessment properformance indicators for candida 37 JIRI RUABA 19 90,0% On track taking part in pretesting for certain evame. This feedback is designed provide useful guidance to candida 38 FLLLP PRACNY 16 76,0% On track 1) Pretests are designed to fine-unit accurate, reliable and fair. As such 40 ADAM FABIK 16 76,0% On track 1) Pretests are designed to fine-unit accurate, reliable and fair. As such 41 DANIEL CHOCHOLA 9 43,0% Needs improvement accurate, reliable and fair. As such 42 RICHARD SULEK 15 71,0% On track some materiais may be subject to use in 43 DANIEL KROMER 18 86,0% On track some materiais any be subject to use in 44 MICHAL JASINSKI 16 76,0% On track 2) Pretests do not necessarily hav 45 VIKTOR MATILCAK 17 81,0%		-			00175			
36 VACLAV SCHEFCZIK 19 90,0% On track English Language Assessment promance indicators for candida 36 VACLAV SCHEFCZIK 19 90,0% On track taking part in pretesting for certain 38 FLILP PRACNY 16 76,0% On track exams. This feedback is designed 39 ADAM VECERA 15 71,0% On track subject to the following consideration 40 ADAM FABIK 16 76,0% On track subject to the following consideration 41 DANIEL CHOCHOLA 9 43,0% Needs improvement materials to ensure that they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such some materials to ensure that they are accurate, reliable and fair. As such some materials may be subject to further modification prior to use in examinations. 43 DANIEL KROMER 18 86,0% On track examinations. 44 MICHAL JASINSKI 16 76,0% On track examinations. 45 VIKTOR MATILCAK 17 81,0% On track examinations. 45 VIKTOR MASILCAK 12 57,0%<	Ca	ndidate Num	iber & Name	Total	Percentage	Performance Indicator	additional feedback on pretest pe	
 36 VACLAV SCHEFFCZIK 19 90,0% On track performance indicators for candidate same for mance indicators above candidate	35		REHA	15			In addition to scores, Cambridge	
37JIRIRUABA1990,0%On trackTaking part in pretesting for certain exams. This feedback is designed update to candida subject to the following considerati update to candida subject to the following considerati update to candida subject to the following considerati (1) Pretests are designed to fine-ture accurate, reliable and fair. As subject to the following considerati (1) Pretests are designed to fine-ture accurate, reliable and fair. As subject to use in examinations.41DANIELCHOCHOLA943,0%Needs improvement42RICHARDSULEK1571,0%On track on track(1) Pretests are designed to fine-ture accurate, reliable and fair. As subject some materials to ensure that they are accurate, reliable and fair. As subject some materials may be subject to use in examinations.43DANIELKROMER1886,0%On track on track2) Pretests do not necessarily have exactly the same format as live examinations.44MICHALJASINSKI1676,0%On track on track2) Pretests do not necessarily have examinations.45VIKTORMATILCAK1781,0%On track on track3) For a variety of reasons, there is guarantee that a candidate will per equally well on two different occas subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely ender to be able to per for area the be performance in on track49ZUZANARASCHKOVA838,0%Borderline51MARTINCUNDA1362,0%Borderline53VOJTECHBOHAC1467		VACLAV			-		English Language Assessment provides performance indicators for candidates	
38FLILPPRACNY1676,0%On trackexams. This feedback is designed provide useful guidance to candida subject to the following considerati39ADAMVECERA1571,0%On trackubject to the following considerati40ADAMFABIK1676,0%On track1) Pretests are designed to fine-turn materials to ensure that they are 	37	JIRI	RUABA		-	On track	taking part in pretesting for certain	
39ADAMVECERA1571,0%On tracksubject to the following considerati40ADAMFABIK1676,0%On track1) Pretests are designed to fine-tune41DANIELCHOCHOLA943,0%Needs improvementaccurate, reliable and fair. As such42RICHARDSULEK1571,0%On tracksome materials may be subject to use in43DANIELKROMER1886,0%On trackfurther modification prior to use in44MICHALJASINSKI1676,0%On track2) Pretests do not necessarily haw45VIKTORMATILCAK1781,0%On track2) Pretests do not necessarily haw46ONDREJNOVAK1886,0%On track3) For a variety of reasons, there is47DANIELACERNOCKA1257,0%Borderlineguarantee that a candidate will per48JANAPROKEJOVA1257,0%Borderline4) A candidate's language abilities49ZUZANARASCHKOVA838,0%Needs improvementsubject to the considerations abov51MARTINCUNDA1362,0%Borderlinesubject to the consideration abov52ADAMSTEPPEK1048,0%Borderlinesubject to the considerations abov54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlinesubject to the consideration abov54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlinesubject to		FLLLP			-	On track	exams. This feedback is designed to	
41 DANIEL CHOCHOLA 9 43,0% Needs improvement accurate, reliable and fair. As suct some materials to ensure that they are accurate, reliable and fair. As suct some materials may be subject to some materials may be subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely ther to be at the lever required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination. 41 DANIEL KROMER 18 86,0% On track some materials may be subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the lever required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination. 42 RICHARD SULEK 15 71,0% On track some materials may be subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the lever required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination. 44 MICHAL JANA PROKEJOVA 12 57,0% Borderline guarantee that a candidate will per equired in order to be able to perform acce into the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the lever required in order to be able to perform acce into the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the lever required in order to be able to perform acquired in order to be able to perform the lever into the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the lever required in order to be able to perform the to be able to perform the subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the lever required in order to be able to perform the the to be adding the the lever required in o	39	ADAM	VECERA	15	71,0%	On track	subject to the following considerations:	
41 DANIEL CHOCHOLA 9 43,0% Needs improvement accurate, reliable and fair. As suct 42 RICHARD SULEK 15 71,0% On track some materials may be subject to 43 DANIEL KROMER 18 86,0% On track some materials may be subject to 44 MICHAL JASINSKI 16 76,0% On track 2) Pretests do not necessarily have exactly the same format as live examinations. 45 VIKTOR MATILCAK 17 81,0% On track 3) For a variety of reasons, there is quarantee that a candidate will per equally well on two different occas 48 JANA PROKEJOVA 12 57,0% Borderline 4) A candidate's language abilities 49 ZUZANA RASCHKOVA 8 38,0% Needs improvement change over time. The value of thing 50 KRISTYNA PRCHALOVA 11 52,0% Borderline eadequately on this paper in the leve 51 MARTIN CUNDA 13 62,0% Borderline required in order to be able to perform accas 53 VOJTECH BOHAC 14 67,0%	40	ADAM	FABIK	16	76,0%	On track	1) Pretests are designed to fine-tune	
42RICHARDSULEK15 71,0% On tracksome materials may be subject to further modification prior to use in examinations.43DANIELKROMER18 86,0% On trackon track44MICHALJASINSKI16 76,0% On track2) Pretests do not necessarily have exactly the same format as live examinations.45VIKTORMATILCAK17 81,0% On track3) For a variety of reasons, there is guarantee that a candidate will per equally well on two different occas46ONDREJNOVAK12 57,0% Borderlineguarantee that a candidate will per equally well on two different occas47DANIELACERNOCKA12 57,0% Borderline4) A candidate's language abilities change over time. The value of thi48JANAPROKEJOVA11 52,0% Borderline re are three performance int On track50KRISTYNAPRCHALOVA11 52,0% Borderlinesubject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the leve required in order to be able to performance51MARTINCUNDA13 62,0% Borderline53VOJTECHBOHAC14 67,0% Borderline54LUKASJANDA12 57,0% Borderline55kukasJANDA12 57,0% Borderline56before the date of the examinationThe equired in order to be able to perform acequately on this paper in the level through further study/pra- before the date	41	DANIEL	CHOCHOLA	9	43,0%	Needs improvement		
 43 DANIEL KROMER 18 86,0% On track examinations. 44 MICHAL JASINSKI 16 76,0% On track 2) Pretests do not necessarily have exactly the same format as live examinations. 45 VIKTOR MATILCAK 17 81,0% On track 2) Pretests do not necessarily have exactly the same format as live examinations. 46 ONDREJ NOVAK 18 86,0% On track 3) For a variety of reasons, there is guarantee that a candidate will per equired in two different occas 48 JANA PROKEJOVA 12 57,0% Borderline 4) A candidate's language abilities 20 ZZANA RASCHKOVA 8 38,0% Needs improvement 50 KRISTYNA PRCHALOVA 11 52,0% Borderline 51 MARTIN CUNDA 13 62,0% Borderline 51 MARTIN CUNDA 13 62,0% Borderline 53 VOJTECH BOHAC 14 67,0% Borderline 54 LUKAS JANDA 12 57,0% Borderline 554 LUKAS JANDA 12 57,0% Borderline 555 Subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination." 'Borderline' subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination." 'Borderline' subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the consid	42	RICHARD	SULEK	15	71,0%	On track	some materials may be subject to	
44MICHALJASINSKI1676,0%On track2) Pretests do not necessarily have exactly the same format as live exactly the same format as live examinations.45VIKTORMATILCAK1781,0%On track2)Pretests do not necessarily have exactly the same format as live examinations.46ONDREJNOVAK1886,0%On track3) For a variety of reasons, there is guarantee that a candidate will per equally well on two different occas 4) A candidate's language abilities change over time. The value of this per a ret three performance inte on track47DANIELACERNOCKA1257,0%BorderlinePretere three performance inte on track48JANAPROKEJOVA1152,0%BorderlinePre are three performance inte on track50KRISTYNAPRCHALOVA1152,0%BorderlinePre are three performance inte on track51MARTINCUNDA1362,0%BorderlineSubject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the leve required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination"54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline55LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline56LUKASJANDA1257,0%Bord	43	DANIEL	KROMER	18	86,0%	On track		
 46 ONDREJ NOVAK 48 86,0% 47 DANIELA CERNOCKA 42 57,0% 48 JANA PROKEJOVA 42 57,0% 49 ZUZANA RASCHKOVA 40 ACARIGATE'S LANGE 40 ZUZANA RASCHKOVA 41 52,0% 41 52,0% 42 Borderline 43 Borderline 44 Candidate's language abilities 45 Charles Charle	44	MICHAL	JASINSKI	16	76,0%	On track	2) Pretests do not necessarily have	
46ONDREJNOVAK1886,0%On track3) For a variety of reasons, there is guarantee that a candidate will per equally well on two different occass47DANIELACERNOCKA1257,0%Borderlineguarantee that a candidate will per equally well on two different occass48JANAPROKEJOVA1257,0%Borderline4) A candidate's language abilities change over time. The value of thi50KRISTYNAPRCHALOVA1152,0%BorderlineFre are three performance in on track51MARTINCUNDA1362,0%BorderlineSubject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the leve required in order to be able to perfor adequately on this paper in the live examination" 'Borderline'54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline55word the considerations abov candidate is likely on this paper in the live evamination, or to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in before the date of the examination '' Borderline'54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline55word the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on t	45	VIKTOR	MATILCAK	17	81,0%	On track	-	
 48 JANA PROKEJOVA 12 57,0% Borderline 49 ZUZANA RASCHKOVA 8 38,0% Needs improvement 50 KRISTYNA PRCHALOVA 11 52,0% Borderline 51 MARTIN CUNDA 13 62,0% Borderline 52 ADAM STEPPEK 10 48,0% Borderline 53 VOJTECH BOHAC 54 LUKAS JANDA 12 57,0% Borderline 57,0% Borderline 67,0% Borderline 70 track subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the leve required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination. 76 to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the leve required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination. 78 to the considerations abov candidate is likely to the to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination. 79 to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the level required in order to be able to reduce the date of the examination. 79 to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination. 70 track subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on the paper in the live examination. 70 track subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately to be at the level to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on the balance to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely t	46	ONDREJ	NOVAK	18	86,0%	On track	3) For a variety of reasons, there is no	
48 JANA PROKEJOVA 12 57,0% Borderline 4) A candidate's language abilities change over time. The value of this subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the leve required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination." 50 KRISTYNA PRCHALOVA 11 52,0% Borderline ere are three performance into On track subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the leve required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination." 51 MARTIN CUNDA 13 62,0% Borderline subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the leve required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination." 54 LUKAS JANDA 12 57,0% Borderline subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination." 54 LUKAS JANDA 12 57,0% Borderline subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in live examination." 55 VOJTECH BOHAC 14 67,0% Borderline subject to the considerations	47	DANIELA	CERNOCKA	12	57,0%	Borderline	guarantee that a candidate will perform	
49ZUZANARASCHKOVA838,0%Needs improvementchange over time. The value of this50KRISTYNAPRCHALOVA1152,0%Borderlinepre are three performance in On track51MARTINCUNDA1362,0%Borderlinesubject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the level required in order to be able to performance in order to be able to performance52ADAMSTEPPEK1048,0%Borderline53VOJTECHBOHAC1467,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderline55Subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to ret that level through further study/pra- before the date of the examination Needs improvement'54LUKASSubject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to ret that level through further study/pra- before the date of the examination Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on the performance in order to be able to perform the level through further study/pra- before the date of the examination Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candi	48	JANA	PROKEJOVA	12	57,0%	Borderline	4) A candidate's language abilities may	
51 MARTIN CUNDA 13 62,0% Borderline subject to the considerations abov 52 ADAM STEPPEK 10 48,0% Borderline candidate is likely to be at the level 53 VOJTECH BOHAC 14 67,0% Borderline required in order to be able to perfinate 54 LUKAS JANDA 12 57,0% Borderline examination" 'Borderline' subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the livel evel required in order to be able to reperform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to reperform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to reperform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to reperform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to reperform adequately on the system adequ	49	ZUZANA	RASCHKOVA	8	38,0%	Needs improvement	change over time. The value of this	
51MARTINCUNDA1362,0%Borderlinesubject to the considerations abov candidate is likely to be at the level52ADAMSTEPPEK1048,0%Borderlinecandidate is likely to be at the level53VOJTECHBOHAC1467,0%Borderlinerequired in order to be able to perfor adequately on this paper in the livel54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlineexamination"54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlineexamination"54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlineexamination"54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlineexamination"54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlineexamination"54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlineexamination"55VOJTECHBorderlinesubject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to ret that level through further study/pradice before the date of the examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on the performation or developed at the level required in order to be able to perform that level through further study/pradice subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform the level through to the balle to perform the level through to the balle to pe	50	KRISTYNA	PRCHALOVA	11	52,0%	Borderline	ere are three performance indicat	
52ADAMSTEPPEK1048,0%Borderlinecandidate is likely to be at the level53VOJTECHBOHAC1467,0%Borderlinerequired in order to be able to perfor adequately on this paper in the livel54LUKASJANDA1257,0%Borderlineexamination" 'Borderline' subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to ret that level through further study/pra- before the date of the examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to ret that level through further study/pra- before the date of the examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in live examination or to be able to ret that level through further study/pra- before the date of the examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on the performance of the examination or to be able to perform adequately on the performance of the examination or to be able to perform adequately to be at the level through further study/pra- before the date of the examination or to be able to perform adequately to be at the level the performance of the examination or to be able to perform adequately to be at the level through the performance of the performance	51	MARTIN	CUNDA	13	62,0%	Borderline	-	
53 VOULECH BORAC 14 67,0 % Bordenine adequately on this paper in the live examination" 54 LUKAS JANDA 12 57,0% Borderline examination" 'Borderline' subject to the considerations abov candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to rethat level through further study/prabefore the date of the examination 'Needs improvement' Subject to the considerations abov candidate is unlikely to be at the level is unlik	52	ADAM	STEPPEK	10	48,0%	Borderline	candidate is likely to be at the level	
54 LUKAS JANDA 12 57,0% Borderline examination" 'Borderline' subject to the considerations above candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to be perform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to be that level through further study/prasi- before the date of the examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations above candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform 'Borderline' subject to the considerations above candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform 'Borderline' 'Borderline' subject to the considerations above candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform 'Needs in provement' subject to the considerations above candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform 'Borderline' 'Borderli	53	VOJTECH	BOHAC	14	67,0%	Borderline		
candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in live examination, or to be able to re- that level through further study/pra- before the date of the examination 'Needs improvement' subject to the considerations above candidate is unlikely to be at the level required in order to be able to perform	54	LUKAS	JANDA	12	57,0%	Borderline	examination" 'Borderline'	
Total Score: 21 100% -					400%		candidate is likely either to be at the level required in order to be able to perform adequately on this paper in the live examination, or to be able to reach that level through further study/practice before the date of the examination"	